



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

. APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,629	10/717,629 11/21/2003		Allan P. Henderson	P64761US2 .	8622
136	7590	06/27/2005		EXAMINER	
JACOBSO!			NGUYEN, CHI Q		
400 SEVEN' SUITE 600	IHSIKE	EI N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	ON, DC	20004	3635		

DATE MAILED: 06/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/717,629 HENDERSON, ALLAN P.					
·	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Chi Q Nguyen	3635				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication aported in the communication aported in the communication approximation	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e. cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 A	A <i>pril 2005</i> .					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□	Claim(s) 15-22 and 33-36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 15-22 and 33-36 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 November 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The specification is objected.	are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachmen							
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∭ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔲 Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

M

Application/Control Number: 10/717,629

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in response to the applicant's amendment filed on 4/24/05.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 15-22, 33-36 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-23 of U.S. Patent No. 6,672,023 in view of Henderson (US 5,586,417). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the scope of the patented claims appears to be the same as the instant application claims. Specifically, the patented claims of US 6,672,023 structurally having a first upright annular structure of cementitious material, a second upright annular structure of cementitious material, which claimed as a pedestal section and perimeter wall section, respectively, a spread section of cementitious material said spread section extending radially between and interconnecting a lower end portion of said second annular structure. The annular sections are corrugated metal pipe with different height and post-

Application/Control Number: 10/717,629

Art Unit: 3635

tensioning bolts embedded in the cementitious material. However, the patented claims of US 6,672,023 do not teach specifically the method steps of forming a foundation. The patented claims 6, 7, 12, and 13 of US 5,586,417 teach method steps of forming a foundation including steps excavating a generally circular ground pit of a diameter slightly greater than and a height slightly less than the diameter and height, respectively, pouring concrete material in the annular space between the pipes. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to combine the patented claims from US 6,672,023 for foundation structures with the patented claims from US 5,586,417 for method steps of forming a foundation. The motivation for doing so would have been to properly form a pier foundation with the similar structures.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 15-22, and 33-36 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Chi Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-6847, Mon-Thu (7:00-5:30), Fridays off or examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached at (571) 272-6842. The examiner's right fax number is (571) 273-6847.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

Application/Control Number: 10/717,629

Art Unit: 3635

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197.

CQN 1/6/05

Primary Examiner

Page 4